

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/597,238	KOCH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	/Peter A. Hruskoci/	1797	

**All Participants:**

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Gavin N Manning.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 July 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 2/9/09*

**Claims discussed:**

*All*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Prior Art of Record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of paragraphs [0032-0039] of the instant specification, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)